Imagined Communities

Reflections on the Origin and Spread of Nationalism

BENEDICT ANDERSON

Revised Edition



London • New York VERSO

everyday life. As with Noli Me Tangere, fiction seeps quietly and continuously into reality, creating that remarkable confidence of community in anonymity which is the hallmark of modern nations.

loss, and servitude) and offering, in various ways, redemption from certain meaning to the everyday fatalities of existence (above all death ideas rooted human lives firmly in the very nature of things, giving origins of the world and of men essentially identical. Combined, these temporality in which cosmology and history were indistinguishable, the node of access to being and inherent in it. Third was a conception of hierarchical and centripetal because the ruler, like the sacred script, was a cosmological (divine) dispensation. Human loyalties were necessarily apart from other human beings and who ruled by some form of organized around and under high centres – monarchs who were persons Ummah, and the rest. Second was the belief that society was naturally being the great transcontinental sodalities of Christendom, the Islamic it was an inseparable part of that truth. It was this idea that called into language offered privileged access to ontological truth, precisely because men's minds. The first of these was the idea that a particular scriptcultural conceptions, all of great antiquity, lost their axiomatic grip or the nation only arose historically when, and where, three fundamental far. Essentially, I have been arguing that the very possibility of imagining it may be useful to recapitulate the main propositions put forward thus Before proceeding to a discussion of the specific origins of nationalism

The slow, uneven decline of these interlinked certainties, first in Western Europe, later elsewhere, under the impact of economic change, 'discoveries' (social and scientific), and the development of increasingly rapid communications, drove a harsh wedge between cosmology and history. No surprise then that the search was on, so to speak, for a new way of linking fraternity, power and time meaningfully together. Nothing perhaps more precipitated this search, nor made it more fruitful, than print-capitalism, which made it possible for rapidly growing numbers of people to think about themselves, and to relate themselves to others, in profoundly new ways.

The Origins of National Consciousness

If the development of print-as-commodity is the key to the generation of wholly new ideas of simultaneity, still, we are simply at the point where communities of the type 'horizontal-secular, transverse-time' become possible. Why, within that type, did the nation become so popular? The factors involved are obviously complex and various. But a strong case can be made for the primacy of capitalism.

As already noted, at least 20,000,000 books had already been printed by 1500,¹ signalling the onset of Benjamin's 'age of mechanical reproduction.' If manuscript knowledge was scarce and arcane lore, print knowledge lived by reproducibility and dissemination.² If, as Febvre and Martin believe, possibly as many as 200,000,000 volumes had been manufactured by 1600, it is no wonder that Francis Bacon believed that print had changed 'the appearance and state of the world.'³

One of the earlier forms of capitalist enterprise, book-publishing

^{1.} The population of that Europe where print was then known was about 100,000,000. Febvre and Martin, The Coming of the Book, pp. 248-49.

^{2.} Emblematic is Marco Polo's Travels, which remained largely unknown till its first printing in 1559. Polo, Travels, p. xiii.

^{3.} Quoted in Eisenstein, 'Some Conjectures,' p. 56.

contemporaries.'6 works which were of interest to the largest possible number of their products, and consequently they sought out first and foremost those sellers were primarily concerned to make a profit and to sell their industry under the control of wealthy capitalists.'5 Naturally, 'bookperiod of exceptional European prosperity, publishing shared in frontiers, was created.' And since the years 1500-1550 were a "international" of publishing houses, which ignored national [sic] established branches all over Europe: 'in this way a veritable felt all of capitalism's restless search for markets. The early printers the general boom. 'More than at any other time' it was 'a great

editions in the vernaculars.⁷ money made printers think more and more of peddling cheap Catholic libraries replete: Meantime, a Europe-wide shortage of mid-seventeenth century the movement was in decay, and fervently encouraged a temporary resurgence of Latin-publishing, but by the glot masses would beckon. To be sure, the Counter-Reformation saturated, the potentially huge markets represented by the monoof capitalism thus meant that once the elite Latin market was come. Then and now the bulk of mankind is monoglot. The logic proletarian internationalism notwithstanding – in the centuries to than the proportion in the world's population today, and total population of Europe was quite small; very likely no larger In the sixteenth century the proportion of bilinguals within the were born to speak it and even fewer, one imagines, dreamed in it. sacrality - was that it was a language of bilinguals. Relatively few and fifty years. The determinative fact about Latin – aside from its of Latin-readers. Saturation of this market took about a hundred The initial market was literate Europe, a wide but thin stratum

same token, increasingly removed from ecclesiastical and everyday life now aspired to write became more and more Ciceronian, and, by the was apparent among the trans-European intelligentsia. The Latin they to the labours of the Humanists in reviving the broad literature of prethe least important, was a change in the character of Latin itself. Thanks directly to the rise of national consciousness. The first, and ultimately further impetus by three extraneous factors, two of which contributed what was written, because of the language-in-itself. all, i.e. because of its status as text. Now it became arcane because of appreciation of the sophisticated stylistic achievements of the ancients Christian antiquity and spreading it through the print-market, a new because of its subject matter or style, but simply because it was written at Church Latin in mediaeval times. For the older Latin was not arcane In this way it acquired an esoteric quality quite different from that of The revolutionary vernacularizing thrust of capitalism was given

astonishing transformation to which Luther was absolutely central translation, and 'within 15 days [had been] seen in every part of chapel-door in Wittenberg, they were printed up in German challengers. But when in 1517 Martin Luther nailed his theses to the print, Rome easily won every war against heresy in Western Europe time, owed much of its success to print-capitalism. Before the age of way, the first writer who could 'sell' his new books on the basis of became the first best-selling author so known. Or, to put it another popular literature within everybody's reach.'9 In effect, Luther peared. 'We have here for the first time a truly mass readership and a of 430 editions (whole or partial) of his Biblical translations apbooks sold between 1518 and 1525. Between 1522 and 1546, a total His works represented no less than one third of all German-language books were published in German as in the period 1500-1520, ar the country.'8 In the two decades 1520-1540 three times as many because it always had better internal lines of communication than its Second was the impact of the Reformation, which, at the same

speaks simply of 'par-dessus les frontières.' L'Apparition, p. 184.) 4. Febvre and Martin, The Coming of the Book, p. 122. (The original text, however,

^{5.} Ibid., p. 187. The original text speaks of 'puissants' (powerful) rather than wealthy' capitalists. L'Apparition, p. 281.

rendered 'standardised, mass civilization.' L'Apparition, p. 394). onginal text has 'une civilisation de masse et de standardisation,' which may be better present society of mass consumption and standardisation.' Ibid., pp. 259-60. (The 6. 'Hence the introduction of printing was in this respect a stage on the road to our

^{7.} Ibid., p. 195

Ibid., pp. 289–90. Ibid., pp. 291–95. From this point it was only a step to the situation in seventeenth-century

overtime which latter date no less than 40 separate printing-presses were working there, but the numbers swelled to 527 between 1550 and 1564, by Geneva alone: between 1533 and 1540 only 42 editions were published producing a massive stream of smugglable print. To take Calvin's realm's eastern borders were ringed with Protestant states and cities The reason for both the ban and its unenforceability was that by then his on the printing of any books in his realm – on pain of death by hanging! better sense of this siege mentality than François I's panicked 1535 ban necessary by the sheer volume of printed subversion. Nothing gives a to which there was no Protestant counterpart – a novel catalogue made Latin. The emblem for this is the Vatican's Index Librorum Prohibitorum capitalism, while the Counter-Reformation defended the citadel of make use of the expanding vernacular print-market being created by fundamentally on the offensive, precisely because it knew how to In this titanic 'battle for men's minds', Protestantism was always religious propaganda war that raged across Europe for the next century Where Luther led, others quickly followed, opening the colossa

religious. monwealth of the Puritans. (François I's panic was as much political as non-dynastic, non-city states in the Dutch Republic and the Comto its core. The same earthquake produced Europe's first important purposes. Inevitably, it was not merely the Church that was shaken Latin - and simultaneously mobilized them for politico-religious least among merchants and women, who typically knew little or no cheap popular editions, quickly created large new reading publics - not The coalition between Protestantism and print-capitalism, exploiting

Europe never corresponded to a universal political system. The remember that the universality of Latin in mediaeval Western well-positioned would-be absolutist monarchs. Here it is useful to vernaculars as instruments of administrative centralization by certain Third was the slow, geographically uneven, spread of particular

and comedies directly to publishers, who bought them as excellent investments in view of their authors' market reputations. Ibid., p. 161.

11. Ibid., pp. 310–15. France where Corneille, Molière, and La Fontaine could sell their manuscript tragedies

analogue. and thus Latin's religious authority never had a true political monopolize Latin and make it his-and-only-his language-of-state the collapse of the Western Empire meant that no sovereign could tive. In effect, the political fragmentation of Western Europe after contrast with Imperial China, where the reach of the mandarinal bureaucracy and of painted characters largely coincided, is instruc-

after Early English's political enthronement was London's power swept Latin, Norman French, or Early English. 13 Not till almost a century huge elements of the subject populations knew little or nothing of Wales, but also portions of Ireland, Scotland and France. Obviously, state concerned covered at various times not only today's England and sequence was a series of 'state,' not 'national,' languages; and that the Bible followed in 1382. 12 It is essential to bear in mind that this - and for the opening of Parliament. Wycliffe's vernacular manuscripi new language to take its turn, after 1362, as the language of the courts population produced Early English. The fusion made it possible for the language of a foreign ruling class and the Anglo-Saxon of the subject by Norman French. In the meantime, a slow fusion between this Latin. Between about 1200 and 1350 this state-Latin was superseded next century and a half virtually all royal documents were composed in of the court, literary and administrative, was Anglo-Saxon. For the especially enlightening. Prior to the Norman Conquest, the language land' - on the northwestern periphery of Latin Europe - is here underlay this vernacularization where it occurred. The case of 'Engthat any deep-seated ideological, let alone proto-national, impulses the sacred imagined community. At the same time, nothing suggests regarded (at least initially) as an independent factor in the erosion of religious upheaval of the sixteenth century, and must therefore be The birth of administrative vernaculars predated both print and the

primarily administered in Early English

mediately or fully achieved. It is unlikely that the Guyenne ruled from London was ever

13. We should not assume that administrative vernacular unification was im-Seton-Watson, Nations and States, pp. 28-29; Bloch, Feudal Society, I, p. 75.

On the Seine, a similar movement took place, if at a slower pace

THE ORIGINS OF NATIONAL CONSCIOUSNESS

French and German. eighteenth century the languages of the Romanov court were century. In still others, 'foreign' vernaculars took over: in the much longer - under the Habsburgs well into the nineteenth Edict of Villers-Cotterêts. 15 In other dynastic realms Latin survived language of the courts of justice in 1539, when François I issued the centuries to raise itself to literary dignity', 14 only became the official since it was regarded as merely a corrupt form of Latin, took several As Bloch wryly puts it, 'French, that is to say a language which, 16

culars to the status of languages-of-power, where, in one sense, subject populations. 17 Nonetheless, the elevation of these vernaadministrative languages were just that: languages used by and Chapter 6). One clear sign of the difference is that the old the rise of hostile popular linguistic-nationalisms. (See below, policies pursued by nineteenth-century dynasts confronted with such, it was utterly different from the selfconscious language unselfconscious, pragmatic, not to say haphazard development. As imagined community of Christendom. they were competitors with Latin (French in Paris, [Early] English idea of systematically imposing the language on the dynasts' various for officialdoms for their own inner convenience. There was no in London), made its own contribution to the decline of the In every instance, the 'choice' of language appears as a gradual,

present. What, in a positive sense, made the new communities negative sense - in their contributions to the dethronement of Latin. vernaculars are significant, in the present context, primarily in a imaginable was a half-fortuitous, but explosive, interaction between national communities without any one, perhaps all, of them being It is quite possible to conceive of the emergence of the new imagined Reformation, and the haphazard development of administrative At bottom, it is likely that the esotericization of Latin, the

linguistic diversity. 18 technology of communications (print), and the fatality of human a system of production and productive relations (capitalism), a

importance until capitalism and print created monoglot mass reading Yet this mutual incomprehensibility was historically of only slight was and is no possibility of humankind's general inguistic unitication adversaries. 19 Particular languages can die or be wiped out, but there capitalism was capable of, it found in death and languages two tenacious The element of fatality is essential. For whatever superhuman feats

market, it would have remained a capitalism of petty proportions. But warp and woof of their lives, was immense; so immense, indeed, that and their association with particular territorial units. The essential thing is general condition of irremediable linguistic diversity, it would be a the same time, the more ideographic the signs, the vaster the potential ot any system of signs for sounds facilitated the assembling process. 20 (At limits, into print-languages far fewer in number. The very arbitrariness these varied idiolects were capable of being assembled, within definite had print-capitalism sought to exploit each potential oral vernacular languages, those languages that for their speakers were (and are) the Europe, and, of course, elsewhere in the world, the diversity of spoken the interplay between fatality, technology, and capitalism. In pre-print ideologies which stresses the primordial fatality of particular languages mistake to equate this fatality with that common element in nationalist While it is essential to keep in mind an idea of fatality, in the sense of a

[.] Bloch, Feudal Society, I, p. 98. . Seton-Watson, Nations and States, p. 48.

^{17.} An agreeable confirmation of this point is provided by François I, who, as we have seen, banned all printing of books in 1535 and made French the language of his courts four years later!

occur for still another seventy-five years. But paper was not a European invention. It floated in from another history - China's - through the Islamic world. The Coming of the surface made the mass reproduction of texts and pictures possible - and this did not not come into general use until the end of the fourteenth. Only paper's smooth plane visible bourgeoisie already existed in Europe by the late thirteenth century, paper did Book, pp. 22, 30, and 45. 18. It was not the first 'accident' of its kind. Febvre and Martin note that while a

bough, lough, rough, cough, and hiccough, shows both the idiolectic variety out of which the now-standard spelling of English emerged, and the ideographic quality of the Printing, chapter 5. That the sign ough is pronounced differently in the words although, 19. We still have no giant multinationals in the world of publishing.
20. For a useful discussion of this point, see S. H. Steinberg, Five Hundred Years of

assembling zone. One can detect a sort of descending hierarchy here from algebra through Chinese and English, to the regular syllabaries of French or Indonesian.) Nothing served to 'assemble' related vernaculars more than capitalism, which, within the limits imposed by grammars and syntaxes, created mechanically reproduced print-languages capable of dissemination through the market.²¹

These print-languages laid the bases for national consciousnesses in three distinct ways. First and foremost, they created unified fields of exchange and communication below Latin and above the spoken vernaculars. Speakers of the huge variety of Frenches, Englishes, or Spanishes, who might find it difficult or even impossible to understand one another in conversation, became capable of comprehending one another via print and paper. In the process, they gradually became aware of the hundreds of thousands, even millions, of people in their particular language-field, and at the same time that only those hundreds of thousands, or millions, so belonged. These fellow-readers, to whom they were connected through print, formed, in their secular, particular, visible invisibility, the embryo of the nationally imagined community.

Second, print-capitalism gave a new fixity to language, which in the long run helped to build that image of antiquity so central to the subjective idea of the nation. As Febvre and Martin remind us, the printed book kept a permanent form, capable of virtually infinite reproduction, temporally and spatially. It was no longer subject to the individualizing and 'unconsciously modernizing' habits of monastic scribes. Thus, while twelfth-century French differed markedly from that written by Villon in the fifteenth, the rate of change slowed decisively in the sixteenth. 'By the 17th century languages in Europe had generally assumed their modern forms.'22

21. I say 'nothing served... more than capitalism' advisedly. Both Steinberg and Eisenstein come close to theomorphizing 'print' qua print as the genius of modern history. Febvre and Martin never forget that behind print stand printers and publishing firms. It is worth remembering in this context that although printing was invented first in China, possibly 500 years before its appearance in Europe, it had no major, let alone revolutionary impact – precisely because of the absence of capitalism there.

22. The Coming of the Book, p. 319. Cf. L'Apparition, p. 477: 'Au XVIIe siècle, les langues nationales apparaissent un peu partout cristallisées.'

To put it another way, for three centuries now these stabilized print-languages have been gathering a darkening varnish; the words of our seventeenth-century forebears are accessible to us in a way that to Villon his twelfth-century ancestors were not.

Third, print-capitalism created languages-of-power of a kind different from the older administrative vernaculars. Certain dialects inevitably were 'closer' to each print-language and dominated their final forms. Their disadvantaged cousins, still assimilable to the emerging print-language, lost caste, above all because they were unsuccessful (or only relatively successful) in insisting on their own print-form. 'Northwestern German' because it was assimilable to print-German in a way that Bohemian spoken-Czech was not. High German, the King's English, and, later, Central Thai, were correspondingly elevated to a new politico-cultural eminence. (Hence the struggles in late-twentieth-century Europe by certain 'sub-' nationalities to change their subordinate status by breaking firmly into print and radio.)

courages attempts by foreign missionaries to provide its hill-tribe unity as a result of conscious manipulations. To heighter minorities with their own transcription-systems and to develop to be imitated, and, where expedient, consciously exploited in a of nationalism, once 'there,' they could become formal models linguistic diversity. But as with so much else in the sive interaction between capitalism, technology and human comprehensible, within an Arabic orthography, has lost that publications in their own languages: the same government is Machiavellian spirit. Today, the Thai government actively diswere largely unselfconscious processes resulting from the exploof print-languages and the differentiation of status between them Turkish-Turkey's national consciousness at the expense of any family of spoken languages, once everywhere assemblable, thus Turkey, Iran, Iraq, and the USSR is especially exemplary. A Turkic-speaking peoples in the zones incorporated into today's largely indifferent to what these minorities speak. The fate of the It remains only to emphasize that in their origins, the fixing Islamic identification, Atatürk imposed compulsory history

IMAGINED COMMUNITIES

romanization.²³ The Soviet authorities followed suit, first with an anti-Islamic, anti-Persian compulsory romanization, then, in Stalin's 1930s, with a Russifying compulsory Cyrillicization.²⁴

We can summarize the conclusions to be drawn from the argument thus far by saying that the convergence of capitalism and print technology on the fatal diversity of human language created the possibility of a new form of imagined community, which in its basic morphology set the stage for the modern nation. The potential stretch of these communities was inherently limited, and, at the same time, bore none but the most fortuitous relationship to existing political boundaries (which were, on the whole, the highwater marks of dynastic expansionisms).

should 'look like,' but their numbers and contemporary births offer therefore inevitably provided the first real models of what such states historically the first such states to emerge on the world stage, and exception of Brazil, as (non-dynastic) republics. For not only were they consciously defined themselves as nations, and, with the interesting Western hemisphere between 1776 and 1838, all of which selfturn to the large cluster of new political entities that sprang up in the languages, national consciousness, and nation-states, it is necessary to isomorphic with the determinate reach of particular print-languages concrete formation of contemporary nation-states is by no means colonial states, particularly in Africa, of the second. In other words, the Saxon family' are conspicuous examples of the first outcome; many exon paper. The nation-states of Spanish America or those of the 'Anglofraction of the population 'uses' the national language in conversation or of them have these languages in common, and in others only a tiny nations - and also nation-states - have 'national print-languages', many fruitful ground for comparative enquiry To account for the discontinuity-in-connectedness between print-Yet it is obvious that while today almost all modern self-conceived

Creole Pioneers

The new American states of the late eighteenth and early nineteenth centuries are of unusual interest because it seems almost impossible to explain them in terms of two factors which, probably because they are readily derivable from the mid-century nationalisms of Europe, have dominated much provincial European thinking about the rise of nationalism.

In the first place, whether we think of Brazil, the USA, or the former colonies of Spain, language was not an element that differentiated them from their respective imperial metropoles. All, including the USA, were creole states, formed and led by people who shared a common language and common descent with those against whom they fought. Indeed, it is fair to say that language was never even an issue in these early struggles for national liberation.

In the second place, there are serious reasons to doubt the applicability in much of the Western hemisphere of Nairn's otherwise persuasive thesis that:

The arrival of nationalism in a distinctively modern sense was tied to the political baptism of the lower classes . . . Although sometimes

^{23.} Hans Kohn, *The Age of Nationalism*, p. 108. It is probably only fair to add that Kemal also hoped thereby to align Turkish nationalism with the modern, romanized civilization of Western Europe.

Seton-Watson, Nations and States, p. 317

^{1.} Creole (Criollo) – person of (at least theoretically) pure European descent but born in the Americas (and, by later extension, anywhere outside Europe).